



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/703,527	11/01/2000	Matthew R. Arnold	13734(YOR920000357US1	1908
759	90 11/30/2005		EXAMI	NER
Richard L Cata	nia		STEELMAN	, MARY J
Scully Scott Mu	rphy & Presser			
400 Garden City Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Garden City, NY 11530			2191	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/703,527	ARNOLD ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mary J. Steelman	2191
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 At</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/703,527 Page 2

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Amendments and Remarks received 29 August 2005.

Per Applicant's request, claims 1, 15, and 25 have been amended. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 15, and 25 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 19, and 37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,971,091.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

Application 09 / 703527 recites the following limitations:

- a) inserting yield points comprising code to be executed at distinguished locations of a program to be executed, each said yield point indicating a conditional sampling operation during execution of said program;
- b) during program execution, unconditionally executing a yield point instance and, in response to executing said yield point instance, ascertaining a state of said execution environment for indicating whether the conditional sampling operation is to be performed, and,
- c) when a state of said execution environment indicates a condition for performing said sampling operation, recording relevant information for characterizing behavior of said execution environment, whereby conditional sampling operations performed at unconditionally executed yield points occur at a subset of the executions of yield points.

US Patent 6,971,091 recites the following limitations:

-monitoring including obtaining raw profile data samples...

-identifying instances of yield point previously inserted...each yield point indicating a potential sampling operation during execution...

-determining a condition for performing a sampling operation of said executing program at an identified yield point instance;

-performing said sampling operation of said executing program upon satisfaction of said condition...whereby sampling operations performed at yield points occur at a subset of the execution of yield points...

Both Application 09 / 703527 and USPN 6,971,091 disclose yield points inserted into a program used for conditionally sampling during program execution.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding independent claim 1, as Applicant has noted on page 11, last sentence through page 12, first paragraph, Burrows and other cited prior art, taken alone or in combination fail to disclose:

"inserting yield points comprising code to be executed at distinguished locations of a program to be executed, each said yield point indicating a condition sampling operation during execution of said program; during program execution unconditionally executing a yield point instance and, in response to executing said yield point instance, ascertaining a state of said execution environment of indicating whether the condition sampling operation is to be performed" and

"conditional sampling operations performed at unconditionally executed yield points occur at a subset of the executions of yield points."

These limitations are similarly recited in all other independent claims, claims 15 and 25. Thus, all remaining dependent claims, claims 2-14, 16-24 and 26-36 disclose allowable subject matter.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee.

Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Steelman, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday, from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached at (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned: 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Application/Control Number: 09/703,527

Art Unit: 2191

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mary Steelman May Mulion

11/23/2005

WEIY. ZHE!